

Security Information

~~TOP SECRET~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
DIARY

Executive Officer, DD/I

Thursday, 4 December 1952

25X1A5a1

25X1A9a 25X1A5a1

25X1C

25X1C  
25X1C

25X1A9a  
25X1A8a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a informed [redacted] who will follow to completion.

25X1A9a

→  
Flareua

2. [redacted] O/IC, talked at considerable length on his recommendation CIA take and record an official position of concurrence or non-concurrence when commenting on IAC papers. He points out, using D-45/30 as reference, that G-2 and ONI both disagree in the proposals made. He has checked this by telephone with O/CI and O/CI disagrees as also does O/IC. He feels that this should constitute an official CIA position and wishes to go on record on the memorandum to this effect. I queried him as to why it was necessary for CIA now to go on record in that we had never done so in the past. He said that he had been receiving working-level inquiries as to CIA's position and felt that these might develop into official inquiries. I told [redacted] that I felt this matter should be approached with considerable caution as I did not know what documentation and approval might be required before an official CIA position could be recorded. [redacted] wishes to discuss this with A/DD/I.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

3. Attended an ad hoc meeting of representatives of Commo training, DD/A and DD/I, in [redacted] room to discuss, with [redacted], the proposal that individuals with technical specialization, in particular Finance, Personnel, Budget, etc., be followed by a Career Board of the technical Office concerned rather than the Board of the substantive Office on whose T/O they work. I was assured that no decisions regarding an individual would be made without full approval of all three; the substantive board, the technical office board, and the individual himself. DD/P has already undertaken the job of categorizing all of his employees of this nature and it is requested that those of us at the meeting have our components categorize their people by early January. [redacted] undertook to draft a Directive to accomplish this and I requested that a second meeting of this group be held to consider the draft when ready. Any discussion as to the desirability of this should be at this meeting and I recommend that [redacted] become involved for DD/I.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

2W- →

25X1A9a

25X9A2

25X1A

4. [redacted] called to inform us that State Department has received an answer to its inquiry regarding the [redacted], and this reply indicates, as did DD/P's reply, that it is desirable to send a high-level interrogator to [redacted]. I suggested that [redacted] study the problem and give us a recommendation as to who should go and under what circumstances.

Security Information

~~TOP SECRET~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

This document is part of an integrated file. If separated from the file it must be subjected to individual systematic review.